## Survey Results

### 1. UCLA Department of Mathematics:

1. How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?

   - **Failing**
   - **Excellent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - **n=19**
   - **av.=8.05**
   - **md=9**
   - **dev.=1.18**

2. How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?

   - **Failing**
   - **Excellent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - **n=15**
   - **av.=8.13**
   - **md=9**
   - **dev.=1.25**

3. What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?

   - **Failing**
   - **Excellent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   - **n=19**
   - **av.=7.58**
   - **md=8**
   - **dev.=1.68**
### Profile

**Subunit:** MATH 131A DIS 4A: ANALYSIS

**Name of the instructor:** A.A. AZZAM

**Name of the course:** 16S: MATH 131A DIS 4A: ANALYSIS

Values used in the profile line: Mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Rating Options</th>
<th>Count (n)</th>
<th>Mean (av.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1) How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?</td>
<td>Failing - Excellent</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2) How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?</td>
<td>Failing - Excellent</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3) What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?</td>
<td>Failing - Excellent</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Comments:

Please use the space provided for any comments you wish to make which are pertinent to the educational process. These may include all aspects of the course: teaching, examinations, grading, textbook, etc.

- Adam is a super solid TA. He is very responsive via email, helpful in office hours, and overall a nice person.

  My only criticism of Adam is that he takes too long to grade / return HW assignments. I feel that we should get our homeworks returned to us within one week, but I found that there was a few week lag between when I turned the HW in and when I received the graded homework. If this isn't Adam's fault, then I apologize for blaming him for this.

- Adam is very knowledgeable. He can teach well, although he's a bit harsh on grading.

- Definitely the most helpful TA I've ever had. He was really good at explaining the intuition behind proofs which helped me learn how to come up with my own solutions. He also replies quickly to emails and questions on piazza and is very approachable and personable. I hope I am able to take another class with him, because he helped improve my understanding of the concepts and improve my confidence.

- Great TA!

  Very helpful. Excellent explanations. Very straightforward.

  Comes up with good problems and analogies to help us comprehend hard theorems.

- sometimes TA doesn't write full questions/solutions on the board, which makes it very confusing to follow what is being discussed at times.

- would be great if there is a review session before midterm/final